

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 VIENNA 08850 261056Z

17 15

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 EUR-10 ISO-00 SS-14 PM-03 INR-10 NSC-10 NSCE-00

CIAE-00 RSC-01 DRC-01 /060 W
----- 084960

R 261014Z OCT 73

FM AMEMBASSY VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 296

SECDEF WASHDC

USMISSION NATO

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

USCINCEUR

USNMR SHAPE

C O N F I D E N T I A L VIENNA 8850

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR NEGOTIATIONS: U.S. OPENING STATEMENT

LIMDIS/MBFR NEGOTIATIONS

FROM US REP MBFR

1. IN DISCUSSION WITH UK AND FRG REPS OCTOBER 24, UK REP RAISED
ISSUE OF REFERENCE TO PARITY IN ORIGINAL TEXT OF US OPENING
STATEMENT. HE SAID THAT HE HAS REGRETTABLY FAILED TO CARRY
OUT HIS OFFER TO COORDINATE HIS PROPOSAL FOR DELETION OF THE
WORD "PARITY" WITH FRG REP UNTIL PREVIOUS DAY AND THAT FRG REP
HAD ON THAT OCCASION INTRODUCED STRONG REASONS FOR WISHING
TO RETAIN MENTION OF PARITY BOTH IN FRG OPENING STATEMENT
AND IN US TEXT.

2. FRG REP POINTED OUT THAT THE WORD "PARITY" BELONGED TO
STANDARD NATO TERMINOLOGY, THAT THE CONCEPT WAS TO SOME DEGREE
SELF-EXPLANATORY AND EFFECTIVE FOR PUBLIC USE, THAT THE COMMON
CEILING OF MANPOWER ALONE WAS NOT A SUFFICIENT GENERAL DESCRIPTION
OF ALLIED OBJECTIVE GOALS SINCE IT DID NOT COVER TANKS, AND THAT
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 VIENNA 08850 261056Z

THE INITIAL MENTION OF THE COMMON CEILING CONCEPT SHOULD BE

IN THE CONTEXT OF APPROXIMATE PARITY TO HEAD OFF A POTENTIAL SOVIET EFFORT TO PICK UP THE COMMON CEILING CONCEPT AND SEEK TO APPLY IT ACROSS THE BOARD AS IMPLYING NUMERICAL EQUALITY IN ALL ITEMS OF EQUIPMENT. FRG REP SAID FOR THESE REASONS HE INTENDED TO RETAIN USE OF PARITY IN HIS OWN STATEMENT AND URGED THAT US DO SO ALSO.

3. SINCE WE BELIEVE ALLIES SHOULD BE IN POSITION TO USE THE PARITY CONCEPT FROM THE OUTSET IN SUPPORT OF THE COMMON CEILING CONCEPT, WE WOULD INTEND TO RETURN TO THE ORIGINAL LANGUAGE ON THIS SUBJECT OF THE US OPENING STATEMENT AUTHORIZED BY WASHINGTON, USING TEXT IN PARAGRAPH 24 OF VIENNA 8689 AS FOLLOWS: "IN KEEPING WITH THIS CONCEPT AND WITH THE ACTUAL NATURE OF THE PROBLEM AT HAND, WE CONSIDER THAT AN ULTIMATE GOAL OF THESE NEGOTIATIONS SHOULD BE APPROXIMATE PARITY IN THE FORM OF A COMMON CEILING FOR THE GROUND FORCES OF EACH SIDE IN CENTRAL EUROPE."
HUMES

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 26 OCT 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973VIENNA08850
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS HUMES
Errors: N/A
Film Number: n/a
From: VIENNA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t1973108/aaaaafgg.tel
Line Count: 79
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION ACDA
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 28 AUG 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <28-Aug-2001 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <30-Oct-2001 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR NEGOTIATIONS: U.S. OPENING STATEMENT LIMDIS/MBFR NEGOTIATIONS
TAGS: PARM, NATO
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005